

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 23313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/781,187	02/13/2001	Atsushi Ogino	58799-038	8810
7590 09/25/2009 McDermott, Will & Emery 600, 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			PHAN, HUY Q	
Washington, I.	OC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/781,187	OGINO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
HUY Q. PHAN	2617	

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE	REPLY FILED 14 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
9)	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
٠,	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO
	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
nave l under set for may r	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee 9.37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as rhin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
2. 🔲	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
_	NDMENTS
3. 🛚	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
	(a) Manager They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. 🗌	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. 🗌	
7. 🔲	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔲 will be entered and an explanation of
	how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows.  Claim(s) allowed:
	Claim(s) objected to:
	Claim(s) rejected:
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFI	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
в. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.1ft(e).
9. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41,33(d)(1), 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

> /Huy Q Phan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant stated that "Claim 9 was objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but the Examiner indicated that claim 9 would be allowable if it is rewritten in independent form." It is noted that the Examiner indicated in the Final Office Action mailed 05/15/2009 (see page 20) "Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims." Since claim 9 has been rewritten in independent form but it does not include the intervening claim 2, therefore it raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.